

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/900,518	07/06/2001	Keith D. Allen	R-716	3954	
75	590 01/13/2004		EXAM	INER	
DELTAGEN INC.			QIAN, CELINE X		
1031 BING STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN CARLOS, CA 94070			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
			1636	24	
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/900,5	18	ALLEN ET AL.			
		Examine		Art Unit			
		Celine X (1636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	15 September 2	<u>2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 29-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 29-35,38 and 39 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 36 and 37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 37 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	ion Papers						
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 06 July 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 							
Attachmen							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/900,518

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 29-39 are pending in the application.

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed on 9/15/03.

Response to Amendment

The objection to claim 2 is most in light of Applicant's cancellation of the claim.

The rejection of claims 5-10 and 17-21 under 35 U.S.C.112 1st paragraph is moot in light of Applicant's cancellation of the claims.

The rejection of claims 1-4, 9, 10 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd paragraph is moot in light of Applicant's cancellation of the claims.

Newly added claims 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 112 2nd paragraph for reasons discussed below.

Newly added claim 37 is objected to for reasons discussed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: selection of mouse ES cells that undergo homologous recombination.

Application/Control Number: 09/900,518

Art Unit: 1636

Page 3

because a pseudopregnant mouse cannot give birth.

Claim Objections

The recitation of "pseudopregnant mouse gives birth" renders the claims indefinite

Claim 37 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 33.

When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both

cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim

to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP

§ 706.03(k).

Conclusion

Claims 29-35, 38 and 39 are allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Celine X Qian whose telephone number is 703-306-0283. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Remy Yucel Ph.D. can be reached on 703-305-1998. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Celine Qian, Ph.D.

PRIMARY EXAMINER